# Identification and Synthesis of New Ferulic Acid Dehydrodimers Present in Grass Cell Walls

John Ralph,<sup>\*,a,b</sup> Stéphane Quideau,<sup>a,b</sup> John H. Grabber<sup>a</sup> and Ronald D. Hatfield<sup>a</sup> <sup>a</sup> U.S. Dairy Forage Research Center, Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture,

1925 Linden Drive West, Madison, Wisconsin 53706, USA

<sup>b</sup> Department of Forestry, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706, USA

Seven isomeric dehydrodimers of ferulic acid (4-hydroxy-3-methoxycinnamic acid) have been synthesized and identified in extracts of saponified cell walls of cocksfoot, switchgrass, and (*E*,*E*)-4,4'-dihydroxy-5,5'-dimethoxy-3,3'-bicinnamic suspension-cultured corn. Dehydrodimers acid, trans-5-[(E)-2-carboxyvinyl]-2-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-7-methoxy-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran-3-carboxylic acid, (Z)- $\beta$ -{4-[(E)-2-carboxyvinyl]-2-methoxyphenoxy}-4-hydroxy-3-methoxycinnamic acid, (E)-3-{4-[(E)-2-carboxyvinyl]-2-methoxyphenoxy}-4-hydroxy-5-methoxycinnamic acid, (E,E)-4,4'-dihydroxy-3,5'-dimethoxy- $\beta$ ,3'-bicinnamic acid, 4,4'-dihydroxy-3,3'-dimethoxy- $\beta$ , $\beta$ 'bicinnamic acid, and trans-7-hydroxy-1-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-6-methoxy-1,2-dihydronaphthalene-2,3-dicarboxylic acid, all arise from oxidative coupling of ferulate esters in cell walls and represent products of 8-5, 8-8, 8-0-4, 4-0-5, and 5-5 radical coupling. Prior literature has acknowledged only the presence of the 5-5-coupled dehydrodimer (E,E)-4,4'-dihydroxy-5,5'dimethoxy-3,3'-bicinnamic acid. Consequently, by measuring only a single dehydrodimer and assuming inappropriate response factors, ferulate dehydrodimers have been underestimated by factors of up to 20. Synthetic routes to all seven isomers have been developed to provide structural authentication and determination of GC response factors.

Ferulic acid is esterified to grass cell wall polysaccharides, notably to arabinoxylans at the C-5 position of a-L-arabinofuranoside moieties as has been reviewed.<sup>1-5</sup> Dimerization of such ferulate esters provides a pathway for cross-linking polysaccharide chains. Two disparate mechanisms have been aescribed; photochemically induced [2 + 2]-cyclodimerization, suggested to be the predominant mechanism,<sup>6-9</sup> and oxidative coupling via the action of peroxidases to produce dehydrodimers.<sup>10,11</sup> The only established dehydrodiferulic acid, released in small amounts from grass cell walls by saponification, is the 5-5-coupled isomer 16 (Scheme 1), commonly referred to as 'diferulic acid'.<sup>12-20</sup> Ishii's isolation<sup>20</sup> of 5,5'-di-O-(diferul-9,9'-dioyl)-[ $\alpha$ -L-arabinofuranosyl-(1  $\longrightarrow$  3)-O- $\beta$ -D-xylopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ -D-xylopyranose] from Driselase degradation of bamboo shoot cell walls is proof that this dehydrodimer acts as a polysaccharide cross-linking agent. The 5-5-coupled dehydrodimer was reportedly the only one produced from peroxidase/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-mediated oxidative coupling of ferulic acid moictics synthetically esterified to guaran polysaccharide.<sup>10</sup> We have been unsuccessful, using either enzymic or metallic oneelectron oxidations, in eliciting substantial formation of 5-5coupled dehydrodimers from ferulate esters. For example, oxidation of compound 1 ( $\mathbf{R}$  = methyl  $\alpha$ -L-arabinofuranoside, FA-Ara), a model for ferulate in feruloylated arabinoxylans,  $^{3,21,22}$  with silver(1) oxide (Ag<sub>2</sub>O) gave the 2,3-dihydrobenzofuran 8 ( $\mathbf{R} = Ara$ , Scheme 1) from 8–5 coupling in 55% yield after isolation.<sup>23</sup> This finding was consistent with many observations that radical-coupling products involving the 8-position (β-position in lignin nomenclature), particularly 8-5-coupling products, invariably predominate among compounds formed by oxidative dimerization of (E)-4-(prop-1-enyl)phenol derivatives, including (E)-isoeugenol, (E)-coniferyl and (E)-p-coumaryl alcohols, and their aldehyde, acid or ester counterparts, by a variety of methods.<sup>24-33</sup> When FA-Ara was co-polymerized with coniferyl alcohol into a synthetic lignin polymer, the ferulate moiety was incorporated in 2,3-dihydrobenzofuran (8-5), alkyl aryl ether (8-O-4), and furofuran (8-8) structures.34

Basic synthetic studies on ferulate ester oxidative dimerization led us to speculate that dehydrodiferulate esters (structures 8, 9, 11 and 12 in Scheme 1) should be present in cell walls in greater amounts than the 5–5-coupled dehydrodiferulate  $10.^{33}$ Identification, characterization, and synthesis of the major ferulic acid dehydrodimers and their analysis in plant cell wall hydrolysates are discussed in this paper.

#### **Results and Discussion**

Dehydrodiferulic Acids from Plant Materials.—Compounds 13-16, 18 and 19 (Scheme 1), dehydrodimers arising from 8-5-, 8-O-4-, 5-5-, and 8-8-coupling processes, were readily apparent in extracts from several saponified plant materials (Table 1, Figs. 1 and 2). The 4-O-5-coupled dehydrodimer 17 may also be present in some samples at low concentrations (Table 1): the retention time of a small peak occasionally observed matches that of compound 17, but authentication by mass spectrometry was not possible due to its low abundance. Establishment of peak identities was via independent synthesis of all isomers 13-19 as described below. The availability of these compounds in pure form also allowed determination of relative response factors in gas-liquid chromatography, flame ionization detection (GLC-FID) and recovery factors through the entire saponificationextraction-silylation procedure. These response factors (Table 1) were essential for valid quantitation due to their inexplicably low values relative to *p*-hydroxycinnamate monomers and the internal standard.

Isomers 13–19 have the same molecular mass but silylation for GLC and GLC-MS produced two separate sets of isomers or classes of these compounds. The first set, originally with one phenolic hydroxy and two acid groups (compounds 13, 15 and 17), have a nominal molecular mass of 602 (Scheme 1, Table 1); the second, with two phenolic hydroxy and two acid groups (compounds 14, 16, 18 and 19) have a nominal molecular mass of 674. Mass spectra of methyl derivatives were significantly more diagnostic than those of silylated derivatives, which are



Scheme 1 The general chemistry of dehydrodimer formation and saponification. Dimerization of ferulate esters via phenoxy radical 2 gives intermediates 3-7 which react in the cell wall to form dehydrodiferulate esters 8-12. During chemical analysis esters 8-12 are saponified to dehydrodiferulic acids 13-19. The values in parentheses below the structures represent the nominal masses for the parent compound, the fully methylated, and the fully trimethylsilylated derivatives, respectively. The structure-numbering system used is based on the numbering of the monomers so as to maintain consistency; actual compound names are given in the Experimental section. *Reagents and conditions:* i, peroxidase,  $H_2O_2$ ; ii, NaOH, 25 °C; iii, HCl.



Fig. 1 GLC of dimer regions of saponified cell wall extracts. (A) Total ion chromatogram from GLC-MS of the dimers region of a saponified extract of primary cell walls from suspension-cultured corn showing dehydrodiferulic acids 13-19 (with the exception of compound 17) and decarboxylated derivative 20. Peaks labelled c are assigned, without further authentication, to cyclodimers based on their mass spectra. (B) Trace from a shorter GLC/FID run of switchgrass parenchyma dehydrodimers—the relative retention times vary slightly compared with the trace in A due to the temperature program used. Amounts determined from GLC are given in Table 1.



Fig. 2 Composition  $(\mu g/g \text{ of cell wall material})$  of dehydrodimers derived from 5–5, 8–8, 8–5, and 8–O–4 coupling modes for various plant cell wall samples: (A) suspension-cultured corn, (B) cocksfoot parenchyma, (C) cocksfoot sclerenchyma, (D) switchgrass parenchyma, (E) switchgrass sclerenchyma

largely dominated by the uninformative trimethylsilyl cation, m/z 73. Peaks observed from the methylated compounds were consistent with the above structure assignments but their response (relative to 2-hydroxycinnamic acid as internal standard) was extremely low so this derivatization method was not routinely used for quantitation of the dehydrodimers.

The amounts of dimers 13 + 14 + 20 (8-5), 15 (8-O-4), and 18 + 19 (8-8) match or exceed those of the only previously reported dimer, the 5-5-coupled dehydrodimer 16 (Table 1, Fig. 2). Compound 20 is a decarboxylation product from compound 14 (see Experimental section). It is surprising that these compounds have not been reported or identified previously although the percentage of each can vary sub-

stantially. From the plant materials surveyed (Table 1, Fig. 2), the amount of total ferulate dehydrodimers was seven- to twenty-fold higher than that of compound 16 alone and comprised 18-73% of the total ferulate esters in cell walls. They were lowest in undifferentiated primary walls from suspension-cultured maize and greatest in walls isolated from fully differentiated parenchyma tissues, indicating that arabinoxy-lans become extensively cross-linked by dehydrodimers during wall development.



While the photochemically derived cyclodimers of ferulic acid (truxillic and truxinic acids)<sup>6,35,36</sup> also contribute to the ferulic acid content of the cell wall (Fig. 1), no effort was made to identify or quantitate these cyclodimers. In plant materials

	pCA	FA	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	DHDFAs/16 <sup>e</sup>
Relative molecular mass (MM,)	164.16	194.19	386.36	386.36	386.36	386.36	386.36	386.36	386.36	342.35	
Methylated MM.	192.21	222.24	428.44	442.47	428.44	442.47	428.44	442.47	442.47	384.43	
Silylated MM,	308.52	338.55	602.90	675.09	602.90	675.09	602.90	675.09	675.09	558.89	
RF (2-hydroxycinnamic acid) <sup><math>b</math></sup>	1.01	0.88	0.10	0.28	0.12	0.22	n.d.	0.37	0.19	0.15	
RRT (2-hydroxycinnamic acid) <sup>c</sup>	1.16	1.36	2.91	2.62	2.88	3.04	3.20	2,45	2.41	3.11	
RRT $(5,5-dehydrodiferulic acid, 16)^d$	0.38	0.45	0.96	0.86	0.95	1.00	1.05	0.81	0.79	1.02	
Suspension cultured corn	380	13 470	870	350	540	410		100	470	170	7.2
Parenchyma	510	2 170	1 460	510	1 520	530		460	650	620	10.8
Sclerenchyma	3 910	4 960	640	069	1 540	300	tr <sup>/</sup>	680	670	1 340	19.6
Switchgrass											
Parenchyma	4 200	7 050	3 180	860	4 230	1 370	tr	1 340	1 680	1 110	10.0
Sclerenchyma	7 800	7 000	800	680	1 430	290	tr	220	1 030	780	18.2
<sup>a</sup> Determinations were made in duplicate with note b): response factors through the entire m	standard dev	iations of $\sim \frac{1}{2}$	5% of sample	the means for prediction of the means for the means for the means for the means for the means of	CA and FA, -hvdroxvcini	but $\sim \pm 20\%$	for dehydro	dimers—see r	notes $b$ and $c$ .	GLC respons	e factors were used

7	l
20	
<u>s</u>	
'at	l
փ	1
ę	l
ed	
lat	I
xy	I
Ą	ļ
ar	
de	
p	1
an	I
6	I
۲,	I
Ξ	I
er	I
<u> </u>	
þ	
Ŭ.	۱
Ca	
ili	
fer	I
įp	I
ltc	ł
Å	I
del	
Je	ļ
d ti	I
ano	
÷	I
A	l
E C	
.č	I
сa	l
iļi	I
ſ	ł
p	I
an	I
(	Į
Q.	I
d (	ĺ
CIC.	I
Ca	I
ari	I
Ę	۱
õ	I
Ę.	ļ
SIS	I
Ĕ	
0u	l
ũ	l
[e]	
÷	I
ē	ĺ
ta	۱
da	I
р	
s a	
tie	I
Der	۱
rol	
d.	
Ц	I
G	l
-	
le	I
ab	I
_	1

\* Determinations were made in duplicate with standard deviations of  $\sim \pm 5\%$  of sample means for *p*CA and FA, but  $\sim \pm 20\%$  for dehydrodimers—see notes *b* and *c*. GLC response factors were used (see note *b*); response factors through the entire procedure were similar. <sup>b</sup> Response factor, relative to 2-hydroxycinnamic acid added as internal standard, through silylation and GLC. Standard deviations from 4 independent determinations were  $\sim \pm 10\%$  of response factors for both monomers and dimers. n.d. = not determined. <sup>c</sup> GLC retention time relative to 2-hydroxycinnamic acid as internal standard, through silylation and GLC. Standard deviations from 4 independent determinations were  $\sim \pm 10\%$  of response factors for both monomers and dimers. n.d. = not determined. <sup>c</sup> GLC retention time relative to the 5,5-coupled dehydrodiferulic acid 16. <sup>c</sup> Ratio of the total dehydrodiferulic acid derived peaks 13–20 to the 5,5-dimer 16. <sup>f</sup> Trace.

examined in this study, dehydrodimers predominate over the truxillic dimers. This may not be the case for all plant samples, but claims of dominance of [2 + 2]-cyclodimers over dehydrodimers are based on quantitation of only one dehydrodimer, 16, and not the whole range of dimers 13-20. Earlier papers also ignored differing GLC response factors. Hartley and Morrison<sup>9</sup> based their quantitation of cyclodimers on sinapic acid as internal standard, assuming a response factor of unity. The truxillic acid dimer from p-coumaric acid <sup>37</sup> has a reproducible response factor of 0.65 relative to our internal standard, 2hydroxycinnamic acid, chosen because it does not occur in plant extracts. We suspect that cyclodimers may have been underestimated relative to the monomers due to response factor differences. Response factors for the ferulic acid dehydrodimers are even lower, ranging from 0.10 to 0.37 (Table 1), so the relative areas of dehydrodimer peaks vs. the p-coumaric acid cyclodimer are underestimated by a factor of  $\sim 1.75-6.5$ . Thus, previous measurements of the 5-5-coupled dehydrodimer 16 vs. the p-coumaric acid cyclodimer seem to be too low by a factor of almost 3 (0.65/0.22). Since compound 16 represents only a small fraction of the total dehydrodimers, the relative abundance of cyclodimers and dehydrodimers has been further distorted. Future comparisons of the relative contributions of monomers, cyclodimers and dehydrodimers must include the whole suite of dehydrodimers and address the response factors for the various compounds.

Analogous dehydrodimers of *p*-coumaric acid or mixed ferulic acid/*p*-coumaric acid dehydrodimers were not found in our preliminary screenings. The reason may be in part due to low esterification of *p*-coumaric acid to wall polysaccharides <sup>38</sup> and its being more highly associated with lignin.<sup>2,3,39</sup>

Origin of Ferulic Acid Dehydrodimers.—Electron-delocalized phenoxy radical intermediates 2, the three most important mesomeric forms of which are shown in Scheme 1, couple 8–5, 8-O-4, 5–5, 4–O-5, and 8–8 to form dehydrodimers 8–12 via intermediates 3–7. Mechanisms are analogous to those in the coupling of *p*-hydroxycinnamyl alcohols to form lignin dimers<sup>33,40</sup> or the cross-coupling of ferulates with coniferyl alcohol and its oligomers.<sup>23,34</sup> Dimers 8–12 release dehydrodiferulic acid isomers 13–19 on saponification (Scheme 1). Significant aspects of these coupling, saponification, and silylation (for GLC or GLC–MS) reactions are described in the following sections. Implications for cell-wall development and mechanistic details are more fully discussed in Quideau's thesis.<sup>33</sup>

8-5 Coupling Products.—The 8-5-coupled dehydrodimer 8 is formed from the quinone methide intermediate 3 via deprotonation/aromatization of the B-ring and intramolecular phenolate attack on the quinone method (Scheme 1). Cyclization is faster than elimination of the acidic 8-proton ( $\alpha$  to its ester carbonyl group), which could also re-aromatize the Aring; no acyclic dimer 14 was detected by GLC analysis of the saponified product mixture from Ag<sub>2</sub>O oxidation of ethyl (E)ferulate from which the 2,3-dihydrobenzofuran (or phenylcoumaran) 8 ( $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{E}t$ ) had been chromatographically removed prior to saponification. Analogous dihydrobenzofurans have been previously identified from oxidative cross-coupling between a ferulate moiety (8-position) and coniferyl alcohol oligomers (5-position) in a synthetic co-polymer.<sup>34</sup> Oxidation of (E)-coniferaldehyde formed the bisformyl analogue of the stilbene 14, as the sole 8-5-coupling product; <sup>26</sup> the stronger electron-withdrawing aldehydic carbonyl favoured 8-proton elimination over B-ring deprotonation/aromatization and intramolecular nucleophilic attack.

Saponification of diester 8 to give diacid 13 competes with opening of the 2,3-dihydrobenzofuran ring to a quinone

methide intermediate 21 (Scheme 2),<sup>41</sup> and subsequent elimination of the 8-proton (3-H of the 2,3-dihydrobenzofuran-see caption to Scheme 1 for numbering conventions) producing the stilbene 14. Treatment of the free acid 13 with base at room temperature does not produce compound 14 because the 8-proton,  $\alpha$  to a carboxylic acid, is not as acidic as the 8-proton in diester 8. Saponification of ester models 8 (R =Me, Et, Ara) results in varying amounts of the cyclic and acyclic products 13 and 14. The silvlation conditions used [N,Obis(trimethylsilyl)trifluoroacetamide (BSTFA) + pyridine] convert diacid 13 into a mixture of silvl derivatives of both diacids 13 and 14. Determination by GLC of the amount of 8-5-coupled dehydrodimers should therefore include the peaks corresponding to the silvl derivatives of both diacids 13 and 14 as well as compound 20.

8–O-4 Coupling Products.—The 8–O-4 coupled dimer 9 is produced in unsaturated form following oxidative dimerization. The acidic 8-proton of the quinone methide intermediate 4 eliminates precluding intermolecular nucleophilic attack on the quinone method (such as is seen in *p*-hydroxycinnamyl alcohol dimerizations) and results in a single isomer, (Z)-9. This has been previously observed for both (*E*)-coniferaldehyde dimerization,<sup>26</sup> and in the 8–O-4 cross-coupling between a ferulate ester and coniferyl alcohol oligomers.<sup>23,34</sup> Saponification cleanly yields diacid 15 as a single isomer (Scheme 1).

5-5 and 4-O-5 Coupling Products.—The 5-5- and 4-O-5coupled dehydrodimers 10 and 11 are produced from their intermediates 5 and 6 via deprotonation/aromatization (Scheme 1). No 5-5- or 4-O-5-coupled dehydrodimers were isolated from oxidative coupling of isoeugenol,<sup>27</sup> coniferyl alcohol,<sup>32,42,43</sup> p-coumaryl alcohol,<sup>28</sup> or coniferaldehyde,<sup>26</sup> affirming that oxidative coupling of 4-(prop-1-enyl)phenols always involves the predominant participation of the 8-radical mesomer.<sup>33</sup> Although no 5-5 dehydrodimer was obtained from Ag<sub>2</sub>O oxidation of ethyl (*E*)-ferulate,<sup>44</sup> oxidation of FA-Ara



Scheme 2 Mechanism for the formation of the stilbene 14 from base treatment of the 8-5-coupled dehydrodiferulate ester 8 in the cell wall or its synthetic preparation from compound 8 (R = Et) using DBU followed by saponification

gave detectable amounts,<sup>44</sup> implying that substituent effects, as well as solvent effects<sup>32</sup> and presumably matrix effects, influence the course of dimerization to some extent.

8-8 Coupling Products.-The 8-8 oxidative coupling pathway initially gives rise to the bis(quinone methide) 7 (Scheme 1). As observed for quinone methide 4, aromatization through 8proton elimination is also effective from dimer 7. Elimination of both 8-protons to give the bis(benzylidene)succinate 12a is not exclusive and other pathways appear to lead to compounds 12b and/or 12c. Dimers 12a and 12c are interesting consequences of 8-8 coupling, since both are potential polysaccharide cross-linking structures. They release compounds 18 and 19 upon saponification (Scheme 1). If the furanone 12b is formed in the cell wall via initial aromatization of one quinone methide moiety of intermediate 7 by 8-proton elimination followed by addition of water to the second quinone methide and transesterification, its parent acid released by saponification can cyclize to compound 19 upon acidification. Alternatively, opening of the  $\gamma$ -lactone ring, 8-proton elimination from the quinone methide intermediate, and saponification would produce dimer 18 (see Experimental section). Analogues of the furanone 12b have been isolated from oxidative coupling of various 4-(prop-1-enyl)phenol derivatives.45-48 One polysaccharide component is released, so compound 12b is not considered to be a polysaccharide cross-linking structure.

Silylation also results in conversion of the furanone 12b (R = H) into the silyl derivative of compound 18, since its silyl ester 12b ( $R = Me_3Si$ ) can undergo a pyridine-mediated 8-proton elimination, as noted above for the dihydrobenzofuran 13. Hence, the total contribution of 8-8-coupled dehydrodimers must include silylated forms of both compounds 18 and 19. Owing to these complicating acid and base rearrangements, the

exact contribution of 8-8-coupled dehydrodimers to polysaccharide cross-linking remains unknown. Future work will be aimed at determining the stereochemistry of the 8-8 coupling mode and the 8-8-coupled structures in the cell wall.

Synthesis of Dehydrodiferulic Acid Isomers.—Compound 16 was obtained from the Pew collection currently held by the U.S. Forest Products Laboratory; it was fully authenticated by NMR and high-resolution mass spectrometry (see Experimental section).

The 2,3-dihydrobenzofuran 8 ( $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{E}t$ ) was synthesized in ~ 30% isolated yield from ethyl (E)-ferulate using Ag<sub>2</sub>O oxidation. Previously we have obtained isolated yields of 55% using FA-Ara as substrate.<sup>23</sup> Treatment of diester 8 (R = Et) with 2 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> sodium hydroxide at room temperature for 20 h produced the diacid stilbene (E,E)-14 via the intermediate quinone methide 21 (Scheme 2) and the diacid 2,3-dihydrobenzofuran (E)-13, along with a small amount of the stilbene 20 formed by decarboxylation of diacid 14. The production of both the cyclic (2,3-dihydrobenzofuran) and acyclic diacids 13 and 14 results from apparently similar rates of ester hydrolysis and 8-proton elimination from the quinone methide 21. Alternatively, compound 14 was more efficiently prepared by the action of the strong non-nucleophilic base 1,8-diazabicyclo-[5.4.0] undec-7-ene (DBU)<sup>23</sup> on the dihydrobenzofuran 8  $(\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Et})$ , followed by saponification (Scheme 2).

Early attempts to make compound 15 by a variety of oxidative schemes, including the use of chromium(v1), hypochlorite, and Swern reagents, from methyl 4-O-[2-(4-hydroxy-3methoxyphenyl)-1-hydroxymethyl-2-oxoethyl]ferulate,<sup>49</sup> or by ethoxycarbonylation of methyl 4-O-[2-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-2-oxoethyl]ferulate<sup>49</sup> met with failure. A synthesis (Scheme 3) was achieved based on that of 4-O-[2-hydroxy-2-(4-



Scheme 3 Scheme for synthesis of the 8–O-4-coupled dehydrodiferulic acid 15. Reagents and conditions: i, Ethyl vinyl ether, pyridinium toluene-p-sulfonate,  $CH_2Cl_2$ ; ii, ethyl chloroacetate,  $K_2CO_3/KI$ , acetone; iii, ethylene glycol, toluene-p-sulfonic acid, benzene; iv, LDA, THF, -78 °C; v, 1 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> HCl, THF; vi, Me<sub>3</sub>SiBr,  $CH_2Cl_2$ ; then DBU; vii, DBU,  $CH_2Cl_2$ ; viii, NaCN, MnO<sub>2</sub>, MeOH– $CH_2Cl_2$ ; ix, 2 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> NaOH, 1,4-dioxane.

hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-1-(hydroxymethyl)ethyl]-3-methoxycinnamaldehyde (guaiacylglycerol-β-coniferaldehyde ether): <sup>33,50</sup> compound 27 was deprotected to the aldehyde 28 and the corresponding benzyl bromide (Br replaces OH) was generated by using trimethylsilyl bromide; <sup>51</sup> DBU generated the quinone methide 29 in situ and subsequent 8-proton elimination gave a single isomer of the styryl ether 30. Generation of a single isomer, the (Z)-isomer, has been observed in reactions involving 8-proton elimination from analogous quinone methides.<sup>23</sup> Conversion of the aldehyde 30 into the methyl ester 31 was accomplished by Corey-Gilman-Ganem oxidation.<sup>52</sup> Saponification gave (Z,E)-8–O–4-coupled dehydrodiferulic acid 15. Stereochemical nomenclature introduces some confusion when comparing compounds 14 (above) and 15 due to the E/Z convention.<sup>53</sup> In both compounds 14 and 15, the acid moiety is E to ring A, giving rise to an (E)isomer for 14, but a (Z)-isomer for compound 15 because the 8-aryloxy substituent has a higher Cahn-Ingold-Prelog priority than does the acid group.<sup>54</sup>

Literature routes to 4-O-5 compounds are lengthy.<sup>55</sup> Our convenient route, Scheme 4, to the 4-O-5-coupled dehydrodiferulic acid 17, is based on Ag<sub>2</sub>O oxidation. Ag<sub>2</sub>O-mediated oxidation of vanillin 22 led to a complex mixture of oligomeric/polymeric materials. We suspected that vanillyl alcohol 32, a less conjugated structure than vanillin, might undergo some 4-O-5 coupling. Reaction of vanilly alcohol 32 with 1.5 mol equiv. of Ag<sub>2</sub>O in acetone (Scheme 4) produced the 4-O-5-coupled product 33, in which one benzyl alcohol group had been oxidized to the aldehyde, as the main dehydrodimer, in up to 30% yield (see Experimental section). TLC monitoring indicated that vanillin was produced early in the reaction, suggesting selective coupling between vanillyl alcohol at the 4-O-position and vanillin at the 5-position. A small amount of the bis-4-O-5-coupled trimer 36 was also isolated, indicating that the 4-O-5 coupling mode is favoured under these conditions. No 5-5-coupled product was isolated. Oxidation of the benzyl alcohol 33 with 2,3-dichloro-5,6dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone (DDQ) gave the 4-O-5-coupled dehydrodivanillin 34. Protection, completion of the two carboxyvinyl side-chains by a Wittig-Horner reaction, deprotection, and saponification gave the (E,E)-4-O-5-coupled dehydrodiferulic acid 17 (Scheme 4).

The lignans 18 and 19 were both derived from the dehydrodiferulic acid dilactone 4-cis,8-cis-bis-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-3,7-dioxabicyclo[3.3.0]octane-2,6-dione 37 56,57 (Scheme 5). Alkali treatment of bis-lactone 37 gave the  $\gamma$ lactone trans-38 (12b, R = H) upon acidification. Selective methylation of the carboxylic group of compound 38 with diazo(trimethylsilyl)methane<sup>58</sup> gave ester trans-39. The transorientations of the A7 and A8 protons in lactones 38 and 39 were deduced from their small coupling constants (2.8-3.0 Hz) and a comparison with literature data of the methyl sinapate analogue of compound 39.45 The  $\gamma$ -lactone 39 was well suited for generation of a bis- $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -enone system: DBU-mediated elimination of the 8-proton afforded the monomethyl bis-(benzylidene)succinate derivative 40, whose saponification gave the 8-8-coupled dehydrodiferulic acid 18, presumably as the (E,E)-isomer. This lignan had previously been obtained in moderate yield as its dimethyl ether dimethyl ester from MeI-KF-dimethylformamide (DMF) treatment of bis-lactone 37.59 Synthesis of the dimethyl ester of the naphthalenedicarboxylic acid 19 by treatment of bis-lactone 37 with methanolic HCl and of thomasidioic acid by HCl treatment of the corresponding dehydrodisinapic acid dilactone have been reported.56,60 Similar acid-catalysed rearrangements of our bis-lactone 37 repeatedly led to product mixtures in which the  $\gamma$ -lactones 38 or 39 were recognized as intermediates by TLC and NMR spectroscopy. The  $\gamma$ -lactone trans-38, made from bis-lactone 37



**Scheme 4** Scheme for preparation of the 4–O-5-coupled dehydrodiferulic acid 17. *Reagents:* i,  $Ag_2O$ , acetone; ii, DDQ, THF; iii, ethyl vinyl ether, pyridinium toluene-*p*-sulfonate,  $CH_2Cl_2$ ; iv, NaH, THF; then triethyl phosphonoacetate, THF; then HCl, THF; v, 2 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> NaOH, 1,4-dioxane.



as stated above, rearranged to the desired 1-aryl-trans-1,2dihydronaphthalene derivative **19** on being refluxed with aq. HCl in 1,4-dioxane. The trans diaxial orientation of the aryl group at C-1 (A7 in our numbering scheme, Scheme 1) and



Scheme 5 Synthesis of the bis(benzylidene)succinic acid and aryldihydronaphthalene lignans 18 and 19 from the furofuranoid lignan 37. *Reagents:* i, 2 mol dm<sup>-3</sup>; NaOH; ii, Me<sub>3</sub>SiCHN<sub>2</sub>, MeOH-hexanes; iii, DBU, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>; iv, 40% KOH; v, 1 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> HCl, 1,4-dioxane.

the carboxy group at C-2 (or A8) in diacid 19 was deduced from the small coupling constant between protons A7 and A8 (1.8 Hz) and comparison with NMR data of thomasidioic acid. $^{60-62}$ 

Conclusions.—Ferulic acid dehydrodimers have the potential to cross-link polysaccharides to lignin in the cell wall, perhaps providing a template for lignification,<sup>3,5,63</sup> and may be important in modifying mechanical properties of the plant cell wall.<sup>11,16-18</sup> Studies with maize cell walls suggest that extensive ferulate dehydrodimer formation occurs at the onset of lignification<sup>64</sup> when generation of hydrogen peroxide is initiated to stimulate peroxidase-mediated coupling of phydroxycinnamyl alcohols.<sup>65</sup> The release of the entire series of ferulic acid dehydrodimers by saponification of grass cell walls is proof that their arabinoxylan ester parents are formed by oxidative coupling. These ferulate ester dehydrodimers are likely to undergo further oxidative coupling with p-hydroxycinnamyl alcohol monomers or oligomers during lignification to form bridges between cross-linked polysaccharide chains and lignin, not only as  $\alpha$ -ether structures derived from lignin quinone methide intermediates as recently suggested for the 5-5coupled isomer,66 but also as several C-C- and C-O-linked coupling structures.<sup>3,34,64</sup> Such processes will clearly reduce the yields of dehydrodimers released by saponification, contributing further to their underestimation.

Underestimation of ferulic acid dehydrodimers by analysis and quantitation of only the 5–5-coupled isomer is a serious shortcoming in past compositional work. The occurrence of other dehydrodimers in grasses parallels the ready formation of non-5–5-coupled dehydrodimers in the laboratory and provides a compelling endorsement of model studies. Past research has focused on the 5–5-coupled dehydrodimer to the complete exclusion of the more predictable 8–5, 8–O–4, and 8–8 dehydrodimers. These other dehydrodimers *are* present in the cell walls of the grasses we have surveyed at combined levels that far exceed that of 5–5-coupled dehydrodiferulic acid and the photochemically derived cyclodimers which have been claimed <sup>6–9</sup> to be more prevalent. Consequently, ferulate dehydrodimers must assume a more substantial role in defining cell wall mechanical properties and in the limitations to digestibility caused by polysaccharide–polysaccharide and lignin–polysaccharide cross-linkages.

Sound analytical methodology remains a challenge to develop because of the low and somewhat variable response factors. An internal standard for dimers with an appropriate retention time and a relative response factor closer to unity should be found. Methods to minimize interconversion of some compounds during derivatization would also be valuable.

# Experimental

M.p.s were measured on an Electrothermal digital m.p. apparatus and are uncorrected. Evaporations were conducted under reduced pressure at temperatures less than 42 °C unless otherwise noted. Further elimination of organic solvents, as well as drying of the residues, was accomplished under high vacuum (90–120 mTorr) at room temperature. Column chromatography was performed on silica gel 60 (230–400 mesh) and TLC was performed with Alugram Sil-G/UV<sub>254</sub> plates (Macherey-Nagel), with visualization by UV light.

NMR spectra of samples in  $[^{2}H_{6}]$  acetone (unless otherwise noted) were run at 300 K on a Bruker AMX-360 360 MHz narrow-bore instrument fitted with a 5 mm 4-nucleus (QNP) probe with normal geometry (proton coil further from the sample). J-Values are given in Hz. The central solvent signals were used as internal reference (<sup>1</sup>H,  $\delta$  2.04; <sup>13</sup>C,  $\delta_{C}$  29.8). One- and two-dimensional NMR spectra were obtained using standard Bruker pulse programs; <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H correlation information was obtained with a delayed COSY experiment, using a fixed delay of 350 ms, whereas  ${}^{1}H^{-13}C$  correlation information was obtained with the usual combination of the inversedetected one-bond and long-range <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>13</sup>C correlation experiments, HMQC<sup>67</sup> and HMBC.<sup>68</sup> Full data for all title compounds and key intermediates recorded in  $[{}^{2}H_{6}]$  acetone,  $[^{2}H_{6}]$ dimethyl sulfoxide and CDCl<sub>3</sub>, are given in the recently released NMR database of plant cell-wall model compounds.<sup>69</sup>

High-resolution EI-MS data were collected on a Kratos MS-

Table 2 <sup>13</sup>C NMR shifts of the dehydrodiferulic acids 13–19 and decarboxylated derivative 20<sup>a</sup>

Carbon	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
 Al	132.49	127.58	125.31	126.60	126.71	127.94	136.12	130.90
А2	110.72	113.26	113.77	109.97	108.03	113.51	111.98	110.16
АЗ	148.53	147.86	148.30	148.92	150.12	148.19	148.10	148.61
А4	147.81	148.98	149.46	147.38	141.39	149.25	146.06	147.61
А5	115.78	115.63	115.96	125.62	144.44	115.93	115.48	155.99
Аб	120.08	126.36	126.06	126.07	114.46	125.60	120.67	121.14
А7	88.56	141.81	128.49	145.89	145.24	142.26	46.00	130.68
А8	56.22	126.34	138.28	116.28	117.00	126.15	48.08	120.61
а9	172.55	169.15	164.51	168.36	168.06	168.46	173.62	
в1	129.38	127.26	130.10		131.07		124.64	126.98
в2	113.34	110.27	112.39		112.70		113.06	109.15
в3	145.73	149.10	150.23		151.39		147.48	148.83
в4	150.96	148.01	148.90		149.34		149.29	146.96
в5	128.05	125.14	114.39		118.34		116.92	125.47
вб	118.95	125.60	122.92		122.81		132.35	120.84
в7	145.59	145.80	145.25		145.38		137.58	146.00
в8	116.75	116.23	117.50		117.95		124.34	116.50
в9	168.18	168.56	167.91		168.02		169.32	168.22
A3-OMe	56.31	55.48	55.92	56.52	56.76	56.05	56.19	56.25
в3-ОМе	56.49	56.55	56.47		56.41		56.39	56.56

<sup>a</sup> Values were determined in  $[{}^{2}H_{6}]$  acetone at 300 K with the central solvent peak as internal reference ( $\delta_{C}$  29.80 ppm). The carbonyl A9/B9 chemical shifts can vary by 0.20–0.75 ppm and the side-chain signals can shift up to 0.35 ppm, presumably due to H/D exchange at the carboxylic hydroxy groups with D<sub>2</sub>O/HDO in  $[{}^{2}H_{6}]$  acetone.

80RFA spectrometer. Percentage values in parentheses refer to the height relative to the spectrum base peak (usually m/z 73 in silylated samples).

Acetone and methylene dichloride  $(CH_2Cl_2)$  were dried by passage through a column of alumina. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was distilled from sodium-benzophenone immediately before use. Diisopropylamine was distilled from sodium. Light petroleum refers to the fraction boiling in the range 40–60 °C.

Isolation of Cell Walls.—Suspension cultures of Black Mexican Sweet corn (Zea mays, cv. Black Mexican) were grown under conditions similar to those of Kieliszewski and Lamport.<sup>70</sup> Cells were suspended in ice-cold 2-[4-(2-hydroxy-ethyl)piperazin-1-yl]ethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) buffer (25 mmol dm<sup>-3</sup>, pH 7.5 with 25 mmol dm<sup>-3</sup> 2-sulfanylethanol), ruptured with a probe-type sonicator and washed sequentially with HEPES buffer, 1% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), water, and acetone to produce cell walls. Parenchyma and sclerenchyma cell walls were isolated from leaf blades of vegetative cocksfoot (orchardgrass, Dactylis glomerata L.) and switchgrass (Panicum virgatum L.).<sup>71</sup>

Saponification of cell walls. Cell walls (50-100 mg) were treated for 20 h at 25 °C with 2 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> NaOH (4 cm<sup>3</sup>) under N2. 2-Hydroxycinnamic acid (0.1 mg) was added as an internal standard. Samples were acidified with 12 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> HCl (0.7  $cm^3$ ) and extracted into diethyl ether (2 ×; 3 cm<sup>3</sup>). Dried extracts were silvlated with pyridine (15 mm<sup>3</sup>) and BSTFA (30 mm<sup>3</sup>) for 30 min at 60 °C. Trimethylsilylated (TMS) derivatives of phenolic acids were separated by GLC (Perkin-Elmer 8500) using a 0.25 mm  $\times$  30 m DB-1 (J & W Scientific) column and a flame-ionization detector with He as carrier gas  $(10 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ min}^{-1})$ . The column was held at 170 °C for 1 min, ramped at 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup> to 325 °C, and held for 10 min. The injector and detector were set at 325 °C. The amounts of individual acids were calculated using response factors determined by running p-coumaric, ferulic, and each of the dehydrodiferulic acids through the saponification procedure in the presence of cellulose (Sigma-Cell 100, Sigma Chemical Company). Recoverability was often extremely poor without added cellulose. Response factors through the procedure were comparable to directly determined GLC response factors (Table 1) but were more variable. Even with pure authentic standards, response-factor determination

was difficult in some instances due to isomerization during the silylation procedure. Silylated dimers were stable for only a few hours and were therefore analysed quickly. For mass spectrometry, silylated samples were separated by a 0.25 mm  $\times$  60 m DB-1 column on an HP 5890 gas chromatograph using He as a carrier gas and detected with an HP 5970 mass-selective detector. The column was held at 200 °C for 1 min, ramped at 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup> to 325 °C, and held for 60 min. The injector and detector were set at 300 °C.

(E,E)-4,4'-Dihydroxy-5,5'-dimethoxy-3,3'-bicinnamic Acid (E,E)-16 (5-5-Coupled Dehydrodiferulic Acid).—This compound was kindly provided from the J. C. Pew collection by the US Forest Products Laboratory, Madison, WI. Some <sup>13</sup>C NMR assignments (Table 2), authenticated here, differ from those reported in  $[^{2}H_{6}]$ acetone-D<sub>2</sub>O (9:1); <sup>72</sup>  $\delta_{H}$ \* 3.97 (6 H, s, 2 × OMe), 6.42 (2 H, d, J 15.9, 8-H), 7.21 (2 H, d, J 2.0, 6-H), 7.35 (2 H, d, J 2.0, 2-H), 7.64 (2 H, d, J 15.9, 7-H);  $\delta_{C}$  see Table 2. Trimethylsilyl-16 [Found: M<sup>+</sup>, 674.2549 (19%). C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>50</sub>O<sub>8</sub>Si<sub>4</sub> requires M, 674.2583].

Synthesis of the 8-5-Coupled Dehydrodiferulic Acids 13, 14 and 20.—Ethyl trans-5-[(E)-2-ethoxycarbonylvinyl]-2-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-7-methoxy-trans-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran-3-carboxylate (E)-8 (R = Et). Ethyl (E)-ferulate 1 (R =Et; 1.02 g, 4.59 mmol) was dissolved in dry acetone (20 cm<sup>3</sup>). Silver(I) oxide (1.28 g, 5.52 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature. The acetone solution gradually became orange-reddish. The reaction was monitored by TLC [Et<sub>2</sub>O-light petroleum (2:1)], indicating that, in addition to the formation of the desired 2,3-dihydrobenzofuran 8 ( $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{E}\mathbf{t}$ ), other dehydrodimers and/or trimeric compounds were formed as evidenced by a slower moving spot, as well as some oligo/polymeric products. The mixture was stirred for typically 2-3 h, after which time the reaction mixture was filtered through Celite. The filtrate was evaporated and submitted to silica gel chromatography [Et<sub>2</sub>O-light petroleum (2:1)] to give the diethyl 8-5-coupled dehydrodiferulate (E)-8 as a solid, which was recrystallized from acetone-light

<sup>\*</sup> Locants refer to the parent cinnamic acid framework.

petroleum (285 mg, 28%) as needles, m.p. 152.8–153.1 °C;  $\delta_{\rm H}$ \* 1.27 and 1.29 (3 H each, J 7.1, 2 ×  $MeCH_2O$ ), 3.82 (3 H, s, A3-OMe), 3.91 (3 H, s, B3-OMe), 4.18 (2 H, q, J 7.1, B9-OCH<sub>2</sub>Me), 4.25 (2 H, m, A9-OCH<sub>2</sub>Me), 4.43 (1 H, d, J 8.0, A8-H), 6.03 (1 H, d, J 8.0, A7-H), 6.41 (1 H, d, J 15.9, B8-H), 6.84 (1 H, d, J 8.1, A5-H), 6.91 (1 H, dd, J 8.1 and 1.9, A6-H), 7.08 (1 H, d, J 1.9, A2-H), 7.27 (1 H, br s, B6-H), 7.31 (1 H, br s, B2-H), 7.62 (1 H, d, J 15.9, B7-H) and 7.87 (1 H, s, A4-OH);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  14.48 (A9-OCH<sub>2</sub>Me), 14.63 (B9-OCH<sub>2</sub>Me), 56.06 (A8), 56.31 (A3-OMe), 56.49 (B3-OMe), 60.56 (B9-OCH<sub>2</sub>Me), 62.20 (A9-OCH<sub>2</sub>Me), 88.34 (A7), 110.74 (A2), 113.30 (B2), 115.82 (A5), 116.69 (B8), 118.91 (B6), 120.18 (A6), 127.41 (B5), 129.46 (B1), 132.10 (A1), 145.22 (B7), 145.82 (B3), 147.97 (A4), 148.56 (A3), 150.99 (B4), 167.28 (B9) and 171.10 (A9). The slower moving fraction was also collected (106 mg) and saponified prior to GLC analysis, which indicated the presence of the silyl derivatives of the 8-8-coupled dehydrodimers 18 and 19.

(E,E)-4,4'-Dihydroxy-3,5'-dimethoxy- $\beta$ ,3'-bicinnamic acid (E,E)-14; trans-5-[(E)-2-carboxyvinyl]-2-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-7-methoxy-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran-3-carboxylic acid (E)-13; and (E)-4-hydroxy-3-[(E)-4-hydroxy-3-methoxystyryl]-5-methoxycinnamic acid (E,E)-20; base treatment of diester 8 (R = Et). The 2,3-dihydrobenzofuran 8 (R = Et; 91 mg, 0.206 mmol) was dissolved in 1,4-dioxane (2 cm<sup>3</sup>) and hydrolysed under  $N_2$  with degassed 2 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> NaOH (5 cm<sup>3</sup>) at room temperature for ca. 20 h. The solution was acidified with 2 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> HCl and partitioned between EtOAc and saturated aq. NaCl. The organic layer was dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and evaporated to give a yellow foam (82 mg), which was submitted to preparative TLC (PLC) [CHCl<sub>3</sub>-EtOAc-AcOH (10:1:0.1), multiple elution] to afford the stilbene (E,E)-14 (34 mg, 43%), the 2,3-dihydrobenzofuran (E)-13 (22 mg, 28%) and the stilbene (E,E)-20 (6 mg).

(E,E)-4,4'-Dihydroxy-3,5'-dimethoxy-β,3'-bicinnamic acid (E,E)-14, fine crystals, m.p. 160–165 °C (decomp.);  $\delta_{H}^{*}$  3.45 (3 H, s, A3-OMe), 3.95 (3 H, s, B3-OMe), 6.38 (1 H, d, J 15.9, B8-H), 6.71 (1 H, d, J 8.2, A5-H), 6.73 (1 H, d, J 2.0, A2-H), 6.85 (1 H, dd, J 8.2 and 2.0, A6-H), 7.03 (1 H, d, J 1.9, B6-H), 7.37 (1 H, d, J 1.9, B2-H), 7.60 (1 H, d, J 15.9, B7-H) and 7.81 (1 H, s, A7-H);  $\delta_{C}$  see Table 2. Trimethylsilyl-14 [Found: M<sup>+</sup>, 674.2704 (51%). C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>50</sub>O<sub>8</sub>Si<sub>4</sub> requires M, 674.2583. Found: MH<sup>+</sup>, 675.2680 (76%). C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>51</sub>O<sub>8</sub>Si<sub>4</sub> requires M, 675.2661].

trans-5-[(E)-2-Carboxyvinyl]-2-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-7-methoxy-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran-3-carboxylic acid (E)-13, fine crystals, m.p. 169–174 °C (decomp.) (bright red liquid at 178 °C);  $\delta_{\rm H}^*$  3.83 (3 H, s, A3-OMe), 3.91 (3 H, s, B3-OMe), 4.39 (1 H, d, J 7.8, A8-H), 6.05 (1 H, d, J 7.8, A7-H), 6.39 (1 H, d, J 15.9, B8-H), 6.83 (1 H, d, J 8.1, A5-H), 6.91 (1 H, dd, J 8.1 and 2.0, A6-H), 7.08 (1 H, d, J 2.0, A2-H), 7.29 (1 H, br s, B2-H), 7.33 (1 H, br s, B6-H) and 7.62 (1 H, d, J 15.9, B7-H);  $\delta_{\rm C}$ see Table 2. Trimethylsilyl-13 [Found: M<sup>+</sup>, 602.2192 (11%). C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>42</sub>O<sub>8</sub>Si<sub>3</sub> requires M, 602.2188]. Some conversion into TMS-14 occurs under silylation conditions.

(E)-4-Hydroxy-3-{2-[(E)-4-hydroxy-3-methoxystyryl]}-5methoxycinnamic acid (E,E)-**20**, yellow oil,  $\delta_{H}^{*}$  3.91 (3 H, s, A3-OMe), 3.95 (3 H, s, B3-OMe), 6.44 (1 H, d, J 15.9, B8-H), 6.83 (1 H, d, J8.1, A5-H), 7.05 (1 H, dd, J8.1 and 2.0, A6-H), 7.22 (1 H, d, J2.0, A2-H), 7.23 (1 H, d, J1.9, B2-H), 7.31 and 7.33 (AB qt,  $\Delta v_{AB}$ 7.389,  $J_{AB}$  16.5, A7- and A8-H), 7.54 (1 H, d, J 1.9, B6-H) and 7.63 (1 H, d, J 15.9, B7-H);  $\delta_{C}$  see Table 2. Trimethylsilyl-**20** [Found: M<sup>+</sup>, 558.2272 (20%). C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>42</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Si<sub>3</sub> requires M, 558.2289].

Alternatively (Scheme 2), compound 14 was more readily obtained by addition of DBU (125 mm<sup>3</sup>, 0.836 mmol) to a solution of the 2,3-dihydrobenzofuran 8 ( $\mathbf{R} = \text{Et}$ ; 83.5 mg, 0.189 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 cm<sup>3</sup>). The yellow solution was stirred at room temperature for 4 h, after which time the resulting dark red solution was diluted in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, washed successively with 3% HCl, water and saturated aq. NaCl. The organic layer was

dried  $(Na_2SO_4)$ , evaporated, and the residue was submitted to silica gel chromatography [CHCl<sub>3</sub>-EtOAc (5:1)] to give the diethyl ester of diacid 14 [diethyl (E,E)-4,4'-dihydroxy-3,5'dimethoxy- $\beta$ ,3'-bicinnamate] (76.4 mg, 91.5%) as a yellow syrup,  $\delta_{H}^{*}$  1.21 and 1.24 (3 H each, t, J 7.1, 2 × MeCH<sub>2</sub>O), 3.44 (3 H, s, A3-OMe), 3.96 (3 H, s, B3-OMe), 4.16 and 4.17 (2 H each, q, J7.1, 2 × MeCH<sub>2</sub>O), 6.38 (1 H, d, J15.9, B8-H), 6.696 (1 H, d, J 2.1, A2-H), 6.702 (1 H, d, J 8.2, A5-H), 6.83 (1 H, dd, J 8.2 and 2.1, A6-H), 7.00 (1 H, d, J 2.0, B6-H), 7.38 (1 H, d, J 2.0, B2-H), 7.56 (1 H, d, J 15.9, B7-H) and 7.76 (1 H, s, A7-H);  $\delta_{\rm C}$ 14.60 (2 × MeCH<sub>2</sub>O), 55.51 (A3-OMe), 56.58 (B3-OMe), 60.47 and 61.05 (2 × MeCH<sub>2</sub>O), 110.26 (B2), 113.28 (A2), 115.64 (A5), 116.31 (B8), 124.94 (B5), 125.70 (B6), 126.36 (A6), 126.58 (A8), 127.30 (b1), 127.58 (A1), 141.36 (A7), 145.26 (b7), 147.88 (A3), 148.06 (B4), 149.00 (A4), 149.12 (B3), 167.30 (B9) and 167.78 (A9).

Saponification was carried out at room temperature with 40% aq. KOH (5 cm<sup>3</sup>). After 2 h, the solution was acidified with 2 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> HCl, partitioned between EtOAc and saturated aq. NaCl, and the organic layer was dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and evaporated to afford quantitatively diacid (*E*,*E*)-14.

Synthesis of the 8–O–4-Coupled Dehydrodiferulic Acid 15.— The synthesis of this 8–O–4-coupled dehydrodimer of ferulic acid is based on Nakatsubo and Higuchi's synthesis of guaiacylglycerol- $\beta$ -coniferaldehyde ether,<sup>50</sup> Scheme 3. Conversion of coniferaldehyde 24 (Aldrich) into the phenoxyacetate 25 was in 95% yield; acetalization to compound 26 was in 81% yield.

Synthesis of  $\beta$ -hydroxy ester 28. Butyllithium (444 mm<sup>3</sup> of a 2.5 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> solution, 1.11 mmol) in hexanes was added dropwise to a stirred solution of diisopropylamine (117 mg, 1.16 mmol) in anhydrous THF (4 cm<sup>3</sup>) at 0 °C under N<sub>2</sub>. Stirring of the mixture was continued for 30 min, after which time the lithium diisopropylamide (LDA) solution was cooled to -78 °C. Compound 26 (285 mg, 0.92 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous THF (4 cm<sup>3</sup>) and added dropwise to the LDA solution. The reaction mixture became brown-yellow and was stirred for 30 min, and 4-O-(ethoxyethyl)vanillin 23<sup>34,73</sup> (207 mg, 0.92 mg) in anhydrous THF (4 cm<sup>3</sup>) was then added dropwise to the reaction mixture, which gradually became pale yellow. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature over a period of 1 h. Following quenching by the addition of solid CO<sub>2</sub>, the solution was diluted in EtOAc (50 cm<sup>3</sup>), washed with saturated aq. NaCl, dried over  $Na_2SO_4$  and evaporated to give a yellow oil (506 mg). Purification by silica gel chromatography [EtOAc-light petroleum (3:2)] yielded the  $\beta$ -hydroxy ester 27 as a 3:1 *erythro:threo* mixture (yellow foam, 270 mg, 55%), <sup>1</sup>H NMR (selected data; CDCl<sub>3</sub> with 0.03% v/v SiMe<sub>4</sub>)  $\delta_{H}^{*}$  1.47 (3 H, d, J 5.1, MeCHO<sub>2</sub>), 4.56 (1 H, d, J 6.6, threo-A8-H), 4.72 (1 H, d, J 5.4, erythro-A8-H), 5.06 (1 H, br d, J 6.3, threo-A7-H), 5.13 (1 H, br t, erythro-A7-H), 5.32 (1 H, q, J 5.2, MeCHO<sub>2</sub>) 5.36 (1 H, d, J 6.0, B9-H), 6.03 (1 H, dd, J 15.9 and 6.0, B8-H) and 6.66 (1 H, d, J 15.9, B7-H).

Compound **27** (270 mg, 0.51 mmol) was dissolved in THF (5 cm<sup>3</sup>) and hydrolysed with 1 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> HCl (5 cm<sup>3</sup>) while the solution was stirred at room temperature for 30 min, after which time the mixture was extracted into EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with saturated aq. NaCl, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and evaporated to afford compound **28** as a yellow foam in quantitative yield (212 mg);  $\delta_{\rm H}$ \*(CDCl<sub>3</sub> with 0.03% v/v SiMe<sub>4</sub>): threo 1.08 (3 H, t, J 7.2, MeCH<sub>2</sub>O), 3.85 and 3.87 (3 H each, s, 2 × MeO), 4.04–4.11 (2 H, m, MeCH<sub>2</sub>O), 4.67 (1 H, d, J 6.2, A8-H), 5.10 (1 H, d, J 6.2, A7-H), 6.10 (1 H, s, A4-OH), 6.59 (1 H, dd, J 15.8 and 7.7, B8-H), 6.78–7.10 (ArH), 7.38 (1 H, d, J 15.9, B7-H) and 9.62 (1 H, d, J 7.7, B9-H); erythro 1.16 (3 H, t, J 7.2, MeCH<sub>2</sub>O), 3.85 and 3.86 (3 H each, s, 2 × MeO), 4.15 (2 H, q, J7.1, MeCH<sub>2</sub>O), 4.81 (1 H, d, J 5.4, A8-H), 5.16 (1 H, d, J 5.4, A7-H), 6.07 (1 H, s, A4-OH), 6.58 (1 H, dd, J 15.8 and 7.7,

в8-H), 6.78-7.10 (ArH), 7.37 (1 H, d, J 15.9, в7-H) and 9.61 (1 H, d, J 7.7, в9-H).

 $Ethyl(Z)-\beta-\{4-[(E)-2-formylvinyl]-2-methoxyphenoxy\}-4-hy$ droxy-3-methoxycinnamate (Z,E)-30. Trimethylsilyl bromide (75.4 mg, 0.493 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of 28 (erythro: threo mixture; 102.6 mg, 0.246 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (5 cm<sup>3</sup>). After the mixture had been stirred for 1 h, DBU (112.35 mg, 0.738 mmol) was added. The mixture was stirred for 1 h, after which time it was processed as described for the diethyl ester of compound 14. The resulting rust-red syrup was then purified by silica gel chromatography [EtOAc-light petroleum (3:2)] to afford a single geometrical isomer (Z,E)-30 as a yellow oil (74.2 mg, 76%); δ<sub>H</sub>\* 1.21 (3 H, t, J 7.1, MeCH<sub>2</sub>O), 3.73 (3 H, s, A3-OMe), 4.00 (3 H, s, B3-OMe), 4.21 (2 H, q, J 7.1, MeCH<sub>2</sub>O), 6.70 (1 H, dd, J 15.9 and 7.7, B8-H), 6.81 (1 H, d, J 8.3, A5-H), 6.83 (1 H, d, J 8.3, B5-H), 7.18 (1 H, dd, J 8.3 and 2.0, B6-H), 7.23 (1 H, dd, J8.3 and 2.0, A6-H), 7.38 (1 H, s, A7-H), 7.49 (1 H, d, J2.0, A2-H), 7.50 (1 H, d, J2.0, B2-H), 7.58 (1 H, d, J15.9, B7-H), 8.14 (1 H, s, A4-OH) and 9.65 (1 H, d, J 7.7, B9-H);  $\delta_{\rm C}$ 14.48 (MeCH<sub>2</sub>O), 55.94 (A3-OMe), 56.55 (B3-OMe), 61.76 (MeCH<sub>2</sub>O), 112.58 (B2), 113.81 (A2), 114.66 (B5), 116.03 (A5), 123.76 (B6), 125.16 (A1), 126.08 (A6), 128.16 (A7), 128.29 (B8), 130.13 (b1), 138.31 (A8), 148.34 (A3), 149.53 (A4), 149.58 (b4), 150.38 (B3), 153.17 (B7), 163.70 (A9) and 193.89 (B9).

Ethyl(Z)-4-hydroxy-3-methoxy- $\beta$ -{2-methoxy-4-[(E)-2-methoxycarbonylvinyl]phenoxy{cinnamate (Z,E)-31. Compound 30 (64.3 mg, 0.161 mmol) was dissolved in MeOH-CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (4:1 v/v, 5 cm<sup>3</sup>) and sodium cyanide (40 mg, 0.816 mmol) was added. The mixture was stirred for 15 min at room temperature and gradually turned from yellow to bright orange, indicating formation of the cyanohydrin intermediate. The reaction mixture was then cooled to 0 °C, and manganese dioxide (350 mg, 4.025 mmol) was added. TLC [CHCl<sub>3</sub>-EtOAc (10:1)] indicated that the clean conversion into the methyl ester 31 was complete after 30 min. After filtration and evaporation, the residue was partitioned between EtOAc and water. The organic layer was washed with saturated aq. NaCl, dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), and evaporated to afford pure diester (Z, E)-31 as a yellow oil (65.8 mg, 95%);  $\delta_{\rm H}^*$  1.21 (3 H, t, J 7.1, MeCH<sub>2</sub>O), 3.71 (3 H, s, MeOCO), 3.73 (3 H, s, A3-OMe), 4.00 (3 H, s, B3-OMe), 4.17 (2 H, q, J7.1, MeCH<sub>2</sub>O), 6.45 (1 H, d, J15.9, B8-H), 6.79 (1 H, d, J 8.3, B5-H), 6.81 (1 H, d, J 8.3, A5-H), 7.12 (1 H, dd, J 8.3 and 2.0, B6-H), 7.22 (1 H, dd, J8.3 and 2.0, A6-H), 7.37 (1 H, s, A7-H), 7.44 (1 H, d, J2.0, B2-H), 7.49 (1 H, d, J2.0, A2-H), 7.59 (1 H, d, J 15.9, B7-H) and 8.12 (1 H, s, A4-OH);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  14.47 (MeCH<sub>2</sub>O), 51.58 (MeOCO), 55.92 (A3-OMe), 56.49 (B3-OMe), 61.72 (MeCH<sub>2</sub>O), 112.45 (B2), 113.78 (A2), 114.53 (B5), 115.99 (A5), 117.10 (B8), 122.92 (B6), 125.19 (A1), 126.03 (A6), 128.05 (A7), 130.09 (B1), 138.39 (A8), 145.02 (B7), 148.29 (A3), 148.89 (B4), 149.48 (A4), 150.24 (B3), 163.75 (A9) and 167.63 (B9).

(Z)-β-{4-[(E)-2-Carboxyvinyl]-2-methoxyphenoxy}-4-hydroxy-3-methoxycinnamic acid (Z,E)-15. Compound 31 (60 mg, 0.139 mmol) was dissolved in 1,4-dioxane (1 cm<sup>3</sup>) and hydrolysed with 2 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> NaOH (3 cm<sup>3</sup>) at room temperature for 3 h. The reaction mixture was then processed as described for compound 14 to afford diacid (Z,E)-15 in quantitative yield as a pale yellow solid, which was recrystallized from acetone-CCl<sub>4</sub>, m.p. 208-210 °C;  $\delta_{\rm H}^*$  3.73 (3 H, s, A3-OMe), 4.00 (3 H, s, B3-OMe), 6.43 (1 H, d, J 15.9, B8-H), 6.82 (1 H, d, J 8.2, A5-H), 6.83 (1 H, d, J 8.3, B5-H), 7.13 (1 H, dd, J 8.3 and 2.0, B6-H), 7.23 (1 H, dd, J 8.2 and 2.0, A6-H), 7.42 (1 H, s, A7-H), 7.44 (1 H, d, J 2.0, B2-H), 7.52 (1 H, d, J 2.0, A2-H), 7.59 (1 H, d, J 16.0, B7-H) and 8.12 (1 H, s, A4-OH);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  see Table 2. Trimethylsilyl-15 [Found: M<sup>+</sup>, 602.2155 (84%). C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>42</sub>O<sub>8</sub>Si<sub>3</sub> requires M, 602.2188].

Synthesis of the 4–0–5-Coupled Dehydrodiferulic Acid 17 (Scheme 4).—Silver(1) oxide oxidation of vanillyl alcohol 32. Vanillyl alcohol 32 (154 mg, 1.0 mmol) was dissolved in dry acetone (5 cm<sup>3</sup>). Silver(1) oxide (347 mg, 1.5 mmol) was added and the reaction was closely monitored by TLC [CHCl3-EtOAc (1:1), which indicated disappearance of vanilly alcohol 32 after typically 30-45 min. The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite and the resulting greenish filtrate was evaporated to give a brown syrup. This product mixture was separated by PLC [CHCl<sub>3</sub>-EtOAc (1:1), developed three times] to give vanillin (20 mg, 13%), traces of 4-O-5-coupled dehydrodivanillin 34, unchanged vanillyl alcohol 32 (8 mg, 5%), the mixed 4-O-5 compound 33 (46 mg, 30%) and traces of the 4-O-5-coupled trimer 36. The remaining oligo/polymeric materials were not investigated further. The use of 1.5-2 cm<sup>3</sup> of acetone per 50 mg of vanillyl alcohol was found to be the best. Although the amount of 4-O-5-coupled dehydrodivanillin was higher when using less dilution, the overall yield of 4-O-5coupled dehydrodimers did not exceed 20%.

4-Hydroxy-3-(4-hydroxymethyl-2-methoxyphenoxy)-5methoxybenzaldehyde **33** (yellow oil);  $\delta_{\rm H}$  † 3.79 (3 H, s, B3-OMe), 3.95 (3 H, s, A3-OMe), 4.63 (2 H, br s, B1-CH<sub>2</sub>OH), 6.91–7.28 (5 H, ArH) and 9.71 (1 H, s, A1-HCO);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  56.19 (B3-OMe), 56.73 (A3-OMe), 64.34 (B1-CH<sub>2</sub>OH), 107.98 (A2), 112.52 (B2), 112.62 (A6), 119.78 (B6), 121.17 (B5), 129.00 (A1), 140.84 (B1), 143.92 (A4), 144.30 (B4), 146.98 (A5), 149.86 (A3), 152.00 (B3) and 190.91 (A1-HCO).

3-[4-Formyl-2-(4-hydroxymethyl-2-methoxyphenoxy)-6methoxyphenoxy]-4-hydroxy-5-methoxybenzaldehyde **36** (brown oil);  $\delta_{\rm H}$ † 3.72 (3 H, s, c3-OMe), 3.90 (3 H, s, B3-OMe), 3.94 (3 H, s, A3-OMe), 4.62 (2 H, br s, c1-CH<sub>2</sub>OH), 6.88 (1 H, d, J 1.7, B6-H), 6.94 (1 H, dd, J 8.1 and 1.7, c6-H), 7.00 (1 H, d, J 8.1, c5-H), 7.01 (1 H, d, J 1.7, A6-H), 7.14 (1 H, d, J 1.7, c2-H), 7.26 (1 H, d, J 1.7, A2-H), 7.40 (1 H, d, J 1.7, B2-H), 9.74 (1 H, s, A1-HCO) and 9.86 (1 H, s, B1-HCO);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  56.07 (c3-OMe), 56.75 (A3-OMe), 56.89 (B3-OMe), 64.25 (c1-CH<sub>2</sub>OH), 108.03 (B2), 108.40 (A2), 109.92 (B6), 110.17 (A6), 112.36 (c2), 119.76 (c6), 122.66 (c5), 128.73 (A1), 134.75 (B1), 138.25 (B4), 142.09 (c1), 142.49 (c4), 143.04 (A4), 147.16 (A5), 149.70 (A3), 152.34 (c3), 153.71 (B5), 155.04 (B3), 191.02 (A1-HCO) and 191.69 (B1-HCO).

3-(4-Formyl-2-methoxyphenoxy)-4-hydroxy-5-methoxybenzaldehyde 34 by DDQ oxidation of compound 33. DDQ (70 mg, 0.31 mmol, recrystallized from CHCl<sub>3</sub>) was added to a solution of the primary alcohol 33 (91 mg, 0.30 mmol) in anhydrous THF (5 cm<sup>3</sup>). The reaction mixture was stirred under  $N_2$  at room temperature overnight. The solvent was removed by evaporation and the residue was submitted to silica gel chromatography [EtOAc-light petroleum (3:2), then EtOAc] to afford the 4-O-5-coupled dehydrodivanillin 34 (70 mg, 77%) as an oil, which was crystallized from EtOAc-light petroleum, m.p. 135.9–136.8 °C;  $\delta_{\rm H}$ † 3.95 (3 H, s, B3-OMe), 3.98 (3 H, s, A3-OMe), 6.94 (1 H, d, J 8.2, B5-H), 7.22 (1 H, d, J 1.8, A6-H), 7.40 (1 H, d, J 1.8, A2-H), 7.48 (1 H, dd, J 8.2 and 2.0, B6-H), 7.58 (1 H, d, J 2.0, B2-H), 9.80 (1 H, s, A1-HCO) and 9.92 (1 H, s, B1-HCO);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  56.41 (B3-OMe), 56.80 (A3-OMe), 108.48 (A2), 112.26 (B2), 116.99 (A6), 117.63 (B5), 125.55 (B6), 129.54 (A1), 133.56 (B1), 143.79 (A5), 145.22 (A4), 150.37 (A3), 151.49 (B3), 152.48 (B4), 190.75 (A1-HCO) and 191.43 (B1-HCO).

Phenol protection of the 4–O–5-coupled dehydrodivanillin 34. Protection of the phenol 34 (50 mg, 0.165 mmol) as the ethoxyethyl derivative as described previously <sup>34,73</sup> afforded compound 35 (52 mg, 84%) as a yellow oil;  $\delta_{\rm H}$ <sup>†</sup> 1.08 (3 H, t, J 7.1, MeCH<sub>2</sub>O), 1.38 (3 H, d, J 5.1, MeCHO<sub>2</sub>), 3.56–3.89 (2 H, non-equivalent, m, MeCH<sub>2</sub>O), 3.95 and 3.99 (3 H each, s, 2 × MeO), 5.53 (1 H, q, J 5.1, MeCHO<sub>2</sub>), 7.04 (1 H, d, J 8.1,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Locants follow the numbering scheme of the parent vanillin framework.

в5-H), 7.11 (1 H, d, J 1.8, A6-H), 7.42 (1 H, d, J 1.8, A2-H), 7.53 (1 H, dd, J 8.1 and 1.9, в6-H), 7.62 (1 H, d, J 1.9, в2-H), 9.85 (1 H, s, A1-HCO) and 9.95 (1 H, s, B1-HCO).

Ethyl (E)-3-{4-[(E)-2-ethoxycarbonylvinyl]-2-methoxyphenoxy-4-hydroxy-5-methoxycinnamate (E,E)-11 (R = Et). The procedure was similar to that used previously for <sup>13</sup>C-labelled ferulic acid.<sup>34,73</sup> Thus, triethyl phosphonoacetate (61 mg, 0.273 mmol) was added to a suspension of NaH (12.5 mg, 0.52 mmol) in dry THF (4 cm<sup>3</sup>). After stirring of the mixture for 10 min, a solution of compound 35 (48.7 mg, 0.130 mmol) in THF (4 cm<sup>3</sup> total, with washings) was added. The reaction was quenched after 1 h by addition of 2 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> HCl, which served also to hydrolyse the ethoxyethyl protecting group. The product was transferred to a separatory funnel with EtOAc and washed twice with aq. NH<sub>4</sub>Cl. Drying over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and evaporation of the solvent gave the diester 11 ( $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{E}t$ ) as a pale yellow oil which, following NMR spectroscopy, was directly saponified (see below). If the neutralization/deprotection step using HCl was carried on for too long, some de-esterification also occurred but this is not a problem if the subsequent saponification step is to be performed directly.

Compound 11 (R = Et):  $\delta_{H}^{*}$  1.24 (3 H, t, J 7.1, A9-OCH<sub>2</sub>Me), 1.28 (3 H, t, J 7.1, B9-OCH<sub>2</sub>Me), 3.39 (3 H, s, B3-OMe), 3.96 (3 H, s, A3-OMe), 4.16 (2 H, q, J 7.1, A9-OCH<sub>2</sub>Me), 4.19 (2 H, q, J7.1, B9-OCH<sub>2</sub>Me), 6.36 (1 H, d, J 15.9, A8-H), 6.48 (1 H, d, J 16.0, B8-H), 6.81 (1 H, d, J 8.3, B5-H), 6.89 (1 H, d, J 1.9, A6-H), 7.17 (1 H, dd, J 8.3 and 2.0, B6-H), 7.22 (1 H, d, J 1.9, A2-H), 7.46 (1 H, d, J 2.0, B2-H), 7.53 (1 H, d, J 15.9, A7-H) and 7.62 (1 H, d, J 16.0, B7-H);  $\delta_{C}$  14.60 (A9-OCH<sub>2</sub>Me), 14.60 (B9-OCH<sub>2</sub>Me), 56.41 (B3-OMe), 56.77 (A3-OMe), 60.53 (A9-OCH<sub>2</sub>Me), 60.64 (B9-OCH<sub>2</sub>Me), 107.99 (A2), 112.66 (B2), 114.45 (A6), 116.99 (A8), 117.93 (B8), 118.36 (B5), 122.84 (B6), 126.67 (A1), 131.04 (B1), 141.41 (A4), 144.45 (A5), 144.81 (B7), 144.94 (A7), 149.35 (B4), 150.12 (A3), 151.41 (B3), 167.15 (B9) and 167.16 (A9).

(E)-3-{4-[(E)-2-Carboxyvinyl]-2-methoxyphenoxy}-4-hydroxy-5-methoxycinnamic acid (E,E)-17. Saponification of compound 11 (R = Et) with degassed 50:50 2 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> NaOH-1,4-dioxane overnight followed by acidification with 2 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> HCl, extraction into EtOAc, drying over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and evaporation gave crude diacid 17. Silica gel chromatography [CHCl<sub>3</sub>-EtOAc-HOAc (50:50:1)] gave pure diacid (E,E)-17 which crystallized spontaneously (40 mg, 80% yield over the two steps from dialdehyde 35, the protected 4-O-5coupled dehydrodivanillin). Recrystallization from acetonetoluene gave spherulites, m.p. 212.0–214.5 °C (decomp.);  $\delta_{\rm H}$ \* 3.58 (3 H, s, B3-OMe), 3.88 (3 H, s, A3-OMe), 6.36 (1 H, d, J 15.9, A8-H), 6.47 (1 H, d, J15.95, B8-H), 6.82 (1 H, d, J8.3, B5-H), 6.90 (1 H, d, J 1.9, A6-H), 7.18 (1 H, dd, J 8.3 and 2.0, B6-H), 7.22 (1 H, d, J 1.9, A2-H), 7.45 (1 H, d, J 2.0, B2-H), 7.54 (1 H, d, J 15.9, A7-H) and 7.63 (1 H, d, J 15.95, B7-H);  $\delta_c$  see Table 2. Trimethylsilyl-17 [Found: M<sup>+</sup>, 602.2195 (94%). C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>42</sub>O<sub>8</sub>Si<sub>3</sub> requires M, 602.2188].

Synthesis of the 8–8-Coupled Dehydrodiferulic Acids **38**, **18** and **19** (Scheme 5).—trans-4-(4-Hydroxy-3-methoxybenzylidene)-2-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-5-oxotetrahydrofuran-3carboxylic acid **38** [**12b** (R = H)]. The dilactone **37** (354 mg, 0.917 mmol), prepared according to Cartwright and Haworth's procedure,<sup>56</sup> was dissolved in 2 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> NaOH (35 cm<sup>3</sup>). After being stirred overnight at room temperature under N<sub>2</sub>, the reaction mixture was processed as described for compound **14** to give an amber oil, which was submitted to silica gel chromatography [EtOAc-AcOH (100:1)] affording *trans*-lactone acid **38** as an amorphous beige solid (342 mg, 97%);  $\delta_{\rm H}^{*}$ **3.81** (3 H, s, A3-OMe), **3.87** (3 H, s, B3-OMe), **4.30** (1 H, t, J 2.5, A8-H), 5.75 (1 H, d, J 2.8, A7-H), 6.82 (2 H, m, AB part of ABX pattern, A5- and A6-H), 6.89 (1 H, d, J 8.2, B5-H), 6.98 (1 H, br s, X part of ABX pattern, A2-H), 7.21 (1 H, dd, J8.2 and 2.0, B6-H), 7.37 (1 H, d, J 2.0, B2-H) and 7.61 (1 H, d, J 2.1, B7-H);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  54.03 (A8), 56.27 (A3-OMe and B3-OMe), 81.24 (A7), 110.17 (A2), 113.95 (B2), 116.04 (A5), 116.20 (B5), 119.17 (A6), 120.45 (B8), 126.54 (B1), 126.58 (B6), 132.37 (A1), 140.46 (B7), 147.97 (A4), 148.54 (B3), 148.63 (A3), 150.20 (B4), 171.66 (B9) and 172.12 (A9). Traces of the aryldihydronaphthalene **19** were also observed. Trimethylsilyl-**38** [Found: M<sup>+</sup>, 602.1596 (0.2%). C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>42</sub>O<sub>8</sub>Si<sub>3</sub> requires M, 602.2188].

Methyl trans-4-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzylidene)-2-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-5-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-carboxylate 39. Diazo(trimethylsilyl)methane (Me<sub>3</sub>SiCHN<sub>2</sub>) (1.608 cm<sup>3</sup> of a 2 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> solution in portions of 0.268 cm<sup>3</sup>, 3.216 mmol) in hexanes was added at room temperature to a solution of the acid 38 (104 mg, 0.269 mmol) in MeOH (10 cm<sup>3</sup>) until TLC monitoring [EtOAc-AcOH (100:1) and CHCl<sub>3</sub>-EtOAc (10:1)] indicated clean and complete conversion. Addition of the Me<sub>3</sub>SiCHN<sub>2</sub> in a single aliquot resulted in phenol methylation of up to 20%. Direct evaporation of the reaction mixture yielded the methyl ester *trans*-**39** (97 mg, 90%) as a yellow syrup;  $\delta_{\rm H}^*$ 3.73 (3 H, s, A9-OMe), 3.81 (3 H, s, A3-OMe), 3.88 (3 H, s, B3-OMe), 4.39 (1 H, t, J 2.6, A8-H), 5.72 (1 H, d, J 3.0, A7-H), 6.79-6.85 (2 H, m, AB part of ABX pattern, A5- and A6-H), 6.90 (1 H, d, J 8.2, B5-H), 6.97 (1 H, br d, X part of ABX pattern, A2-H), 7.17 (1 H, dd, J 8.2 and 2.0, B6-H), 7.28 (1 H, d, J 2.0, B2-H) and 7.62 (1 H, d, J 2.1, B7-H);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  53.16 (A9-OMe), 53.78 (A8), 56.23 and 56.26 (A3-OMe and B3-OMe), 80.97 (A7), 110.12 (A2), 113.78 (B2), 116.04 (A5), 116.24 (B5), 119.23 (A6), 120.03 (B8), 126.38 (B1), 126.42 (B6), 132.08 (A1), 140.75 (B7), 147.99 (A4), 148.52 (B3), 148.61 (A3), 150.24 (B4), 171.45 (B9) and 171.56 (A9).

4,4'-Dihydroxy-3,3'-dimethoxy- $\beta$ , $\beta$ '-bicinnamic acid monomethyl ester 40. The  $\gamma$ -lactone 39 (97 mg, 0.242 mmol) was dissolved in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (10 cm<sup>3</sup>) and DBU (150 mm<sup>3</sup>, 1.003 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h and processed as described for the diethyl ester of compound 14. Silica gel chromatography [CHCl<sub>3</sub>-EtOAc-AcOH (1:1:0.1)] afforded compound 40 (87 mg, 90%) as a yellow foam;  $\delta_{\rm H}^*$  3.66 (3 H, s, A9-OMe), 3.72 (3 H, s, B3-OMe), 3.73 (3 H, s, A3-OMe), 6.78 (2 H, d, J 8.2, A5- and B5-H), 7.09 (1 H, dd, J 8.2 and 2.0, B6-H), 7.11 (1 H, dd, J 8.2 and 2.0, A6-H), 7.25 (1 H, d, J 2.0, B2-H), 7.30 (1 H, d, J 2.0, A2-H), 7.81 (1 H, s, A7-H) and 7.84 (1 H, s, B7-H);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  52.28 (A9-OMe), 56.04 (A3-OMe and B3-OMe), 113.40 (B2), 113.54 (A2), 115.95 (B5), 115.97 (A5), 125.60 (B6), 125.67 (A8 and B8), 125.73 (A6), 127.78 (B1), 127.81 (A1), 142.36 (A7), 142.47 (B7), 148.19 (A3), 148.20 (B3), 149.32 (B4), 149.37 (A4), 168.22 (A9) and 168.53 (в9).

4,4'-Dihydroxy-3,3'-dimethoxy-β,β'-bicinnamic acid **18**. Compound **40** was saponified as described for compound **14** to afford quantitatively the 8–8-coupled dehydrodiferulic acid **18** as a yellow solid, which was recrystallized from aq. MeOH, m.p. 230–236 °C (decomp.);  $\delta_{\rm H}^*$  3.74 (6 H, s, 2 × MeO), 6.78 (2 H, d, J 8.2, A5-H), 7.11 (2 H, dd, J 8.2 and 2.0, A6-H), 7.31 (2 H, d, J 2.0, A2-H) and 7.83 (2 H, s, A7-H);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  see Table 2. Trimethylsilyl-**18** [Found: M<sup>+</sup>, 674.2563 (14%). C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>50</sub>O<sub>8</sub>Si<sub>4</sub> requires M, 674.2583].

trans-7-Hydroxy-1-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-6-methoxy-1,2-dihydronaphthalene-2,3-dicarboxylic acid trans-19. The  $\gamma$ -lactone trans-38 (50 mg, 0.129 mmol) was dissolved in 1,4dioxane-1 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> HCl (1:1; 10 cm<sup>3</sup>) and the solution was heated under reflux for 3 h. The reaction mixture was cooled, diluted in EtOAc, washed with saturated aq. NaCl, and the organic layer was dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and evaporated. The residue was submitted to silica gel chromatography [EtOAc-AcOH (100:1)] to afford the 8-8-coupled dehydrodiferulic acid trans-19 (42 mg, 84%) as an off-white solid, m.p. 175-180 °C (decomp.);  $\delta_{\rm H}^*$  3.74 (3 H, s, A3-OMe), 3.86 (3 H, s, B3-OMe),

3.88 (1 H, d, J 1.8, A8-H), 4.61 (1 H, br d, J 1.8, A7-H), 6.42 (1 H, dd, J 8.2 and 2.0, A6-H), 6.64 (1 H, d, J 8.2, A5-H), 6.71 (1 H, s, B5-H), 6.79 (1 H, d, J 2.0, A2-H), 7.04 (1 H, s, B2-H) and 7.60 (1 H, s, b7-H);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  see Table 2. Trimethylsilyl-19 [Found: M<sup>+</sup>, 674.2497 (9%). C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>50</sub>O<sub>8</sub>Si<sub>4</sub> requires M, 674.2583].

# Appendix

Since submitting this manuscript, two published articles describe the discovery of isomeric dehydrodimers. Van Huystee and Zheng<sup>74</sup> observed six products by TLC and hypothesized that three of them must be the (E,E), (E,Z) and (Z,Z) isomers of the 5-5-coupled dehydrodimer. Stewart et al.75 came to similar conclusions, hypothesizing further orientational isomers, after noting six peaks in their GLC runs that had identical mass spectra. Each of these papers was based on the premise that 5-5-coupling is the only coupling pathway allowable, a misconception revealed by the present study. Photochemical isomerism was tested during the course of the work described in this paper (by irradiation of some of the compounds described here)-only traces of other geometrical isomers could be detected in our samples.

### Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the staff at the U.S. Dairy Forage Research Center and to the Agricultural Research Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture for funding the AMX-360 NMR instrumentation that has made this work possible, to the U.S. Forest Products Laboratory for the gift of 5-5-coupled dehydrodiferulic acid 16 from the collection of J. C. Pew, to Richard F. Helm (Virginia Tech.) and Lawrence L. Landucci (U.S. Forest Products Lab.) for valuable discussions, to Laurens Anderson for help with naming compounds, to John M. Harkin (Soils Department, Univ. Wisconsin-Madison) for editorial comments and his continued interest, and to Dave Snyder (Instrumentational Facility, Dept. of Chemistry, Univ. Wisconsin-Madison) for high-resolution MS. We also gratefully acknowledge support through the USDA competitive grant, #92-37304-8057, in the Plant Growth and Development Section, and the USDA-ARS Research Associate Program for partial funding of J. H. G.

Mention of trade name, proprietary product, or specific equipment does not constitute a guarantee of the product by USDA and does not imply its approval to the exclusion of other products that may also be suitable.

#### References

- 1 R. D. Hartley and C. W. Ford, in Plant Cell Wall Polymers, Biogenesis and Biodegradation, eds. N. G. Lewis and M. G. Paice, American Chemical Society, Washington, 1989, pp. 137–145.
- 2 H. G. Jung and D. A. Deetz, in Forage Cell Wall Structure and Digestibility, eds. H. G. Jung, D. R. Buxton, R. D. Hatfield and J. Ralph, ASA-CSSA-SSSA, Madison, 1993, pp. 315-346.
- 3 J. Ralph and R. F. Helm, in Forage Cell Wall Structure and Digestibility, eds. H. G. Jung, D. R. Buxton, R. D. Hatfield and J. Ralph, ASA-CSSA-SSSA, Madison, 1993, pp. 201-246.
- 4 H. G. Jung and J. Ralph, in Microbial and Plant Opportunities to Improve Lignocellulose Utilization by Ruminants, eds. D. E. Akin, L. G. Ljungdahl, J. R. Wilson and P. J. Harris, Elsevier, New York, 1990, pp. 173-182.
- 5 E. Yamamoto, G. H. Bokelman and N. G. Lewis, in Plant Cell Wall Polymers, Biogenesis and Biodegradation, eds. N. G. Lewis and M. G. Paice, American Chemical Society, Washington, DC, 1989, pp. 68-88.
- 6 C. W. Ford and R. D. Hartley, J. Sci. Food Agric., 1990, 50, 29.
- 7 R. D. Hartley, W. H. Morrison III, D. S. Himmelsbach and W. S. Borneman, Phytochemistry, 1990, 29, 3705.
- 8 R. D. Hartley, W. H. Morrison III, F. Balza and G. H. N. Towers, Phytochemistry, 1990, 29, 3699.

- 9 R. D. Hartley and W. H. Morrison III, J. Sci. Food Agric., 1991, 55, 365.
- 10 T. Geissmann and H. Neukom, Helv. Chim. Acta, 1971, 54, 1108.
- 11 S. C. Fry, Planta, 1979, 146, 343.
- 12 H. U. Markwalder and H. Neukom, Phytochemistry, 1976, 15, 836.
- 13 R. D. Hartley and E. C. Jones, *Phytochemistry*, 1976, **15**, 1157. 14 R. D. Hartley and E. C. Jones, *Phytochemistry*, 1977, **16**, 1531.
- 15 P. J. Harris, R. D. Hartley and K. H. Lowry, J. Sci. Food Agric., 1980, 31, 959.
- 16 S. Kamisaka, S. Takeda, K. Takahashi and K. Shibata, Physiol. Plant., 1990, 78, 1.
- 17 K.-S. Tan, T. Hoson, Y. Masuda and S. Kamisaka, Physiol. Plant., 1991, 83, 397.
- 18 K.-S. Tan, T. Hoson, Y. Masuda and S. Kamisaka, Plant Cell Physiol., 1992, 33, 103.
- 19 F. Eraso and R. D. Hartley, J. Sci. Food Agric., 1990, 51, 163.
- 20 T. Ishii, Carbohydr. Res., 1991, 219, 15.
- 21 R. D. Hatfield, R. F. Helm and J. Ralph, Anal. Biochem., 1991, 194, 25.
- 22 R. F. Helm, J. Ralph and R. D. Hatfield, Carbohydr. Res., 1992, 229, 183.
- 23 J. Ralph, R. F. Helm and S. Quideau, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1992. 2971.
- 24 K. Freudenberg and H. Schlüter, Chem. Ber., 1955, 88, 617.
- 25 H. H. Nimz, Chem. Ber., 1963, 96, 2086.
- 26 W.J. Connors, C.-L. Chen and J.C. Pew, J. Org. Chem., 1970, 35, 1920.
- 27 K. V. Sarkanen and A. F. A. Wallis, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1,
- 1973, 1869. 28 F. Nakatsubo and T. Higuchi, Wood Res., 1975, 58, 12.
- 29 Y. Katayama and T. Fukuzumi, Mokuzai Gakkaishi, 1978, 24, 664 (Chem. Abstr., 1978, 89, 216993m).
- 30 A. Zanarotti, J. Chem. Res. (S), 1983, 306.
- 31 H. H. Wasserman, R. K. Brunner, J. D. Buynak, C. G. Carter, T. Oku and R. P. Robinson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 519.
- 32 S. Quideau and J. Ralph, Holzforschung, 1994, 48, 12 (Chem. Abstr., 1994, 20, 273338j).
- 33 S. Quideau, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Wisconsin-Madison, USA, 1994.
- 34 J. Ralph, R. F. Helm, S. Quideau and R. D. Hatfield, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1992, 2961.
- 35 C. W. Ford and R. D. Hartley, J. Sci. Food Agric., 1989, 46, 301.
- 36 R. D. Hartley, F. R. Whatley and P. J. Harris, Phytochemistry, 1988, 27. 349.
- 37 M. D. Cohen, G. M. J. Schmidt and F. I. Sonntag, J. Chem. Soc., 1964, 2000.
- 38 I. Mueller-Harvey, R. D. Hartley, P. J. Harris and E. H. Curzon, Carbohydr. Res., 1986, 148, 71. 39 J. Ralph, R. D. Hatfield, S. Quideau, R. F. Helm, J. Grabber and
- H. G. Jung, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1994, 116, 9448.
- 40 J. M. Harkin, in Oxidative Coupling of Phenols, eds. W. I. Taylor and A. R. Battersby, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1967, pp. 243-321.
- 41 J. Ralph, R. M. Ede, N. P. Robinson and L. Main, J. Wood Chem. Technol., 1987, 7, 133.
- 42 K. Freudenberg and K.-C. Renner, Chem. Ber., 1965, 98, 1879.
- 43 K. V. Sarkanen, in Lignins, Occurrence, Formation, Structure and Reactions, eds. K. V. Sarkanen and C. H. Ludwig, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1971, pp. 95-163.
- 44 S. Quideau and J. Ralph, unpublished work.
- 45 A. F. A. Wallis, Aust. J. Chem., 1973, 26, 1571.
- 46 K. Freudenberg and H. Geiger, Chem. Ber., 1963, 96, 1265.
- 47 H. Nimz, Angew. Chem., 1964, 76, 597.
- 48 Y. Z. Lai and K. V. Sarkanen, in Lignins, Occurrence, Formation, Structure and Reactions, eds. K. V. Sarkanen and C. H. Ludwig, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1971, pp. 214-215 and references therein.
- 49 R. F. Helm and J. Ralph, J. Agric. Food Chem., 1992, 40, 2167.
- 50 F. Nakatsubo and T. Higuchi, Wood Res., 1980, 66, 23.
- 51 J. Ralph and R. A. Young, J. Wood Chem. Technol., 1983, 3, 161.
- 52 E. J. Corey, N. W. Gilman and B. E. Ganem, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1968, 90, 5616.
- 53 J. E. Blackwood, C. L. Gladys, K. L. Loening, A. E. Petrarca and J. E. Rush, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1968, 90, 509.
- 54 R. S. Cahn, C. Ingold and V. Prelog, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1966, 5, 385.
- 55 K. Kuroda and Y. Inoue, Mokuzai Gakkaishi, 1986, 32, 285 (Chem. Abstr., 1987, 106, 66845t).
- 56 N. J. Cartwright and R. D. Haworth, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1944, 66, 535.
- 57 S. Quideau and J. Ralph, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1993, 653.
- 58 N. Hashimoto, T. Aoyama and T. Shioiri, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 1981, 29, 1475.

- 59 A. Pelter, R. S. Ward, D. J. Watson, P. Collins and T. I. Kay, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1982, 175.
- 60 R. Ahmed, M. Lehrer and R. Stevenson, Tetrahedron, 1973, 29, 3753.
- A. F. A. Wallis, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1968, 5287.
   F. D. Hostettler and M. K. Seikel, *Tetrahedron*, 1969, 25, 2325.
- 63 S. C. Fry and J. C. Miller, in Plant Cell Wall Polymers, Biogenesis and Biodegradation, eds. N. G. Lewis and M. G. Paice, American Chemical Society, Washington, DC, 1989, pp. 33-46.
- 64 J. H. Grabber, J. Ralph, R. D. Hatfield, S. Quideau and N. Amrhein, submitted for publication in Phytochemistry.
- 65 G. J. McDougall, *Phytochemistry*, 1992, 31, 3385.
  66 T. B. T. Lam, K. Iiyama and B. A. Stone, *Phytochemistry*, 1992, 31, 2655.
- 67 A. Bax and S. Subramanian, J. Magn. Reson., 1986, 67, 565.
- 68 A. Bax and M. F. Summers, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 2093.
- 69 J. Ralph, W. L. Landucci, S. A. Ralph and L. L. Landucci, available over Internet; send E-mail to JRALPH@FACSTAFF.WISC.EDU, 1994.

- 70 M. Kieliszewski and D. T. A. Lamport, Plant Physiol., 1987, 85, 823.
- 71 J. H. Grabber, G. A. Jung and R. R. Hill, Crop Sci., 1991, 31, 1058.
- 72 H.-D. Lüdemann and H. Nimz, Makromol. Chem., 1974, 175, 2393.
- 73 J. Newman, R. N. Rej, G. Just and N. G. Lewis, Holzforschung, 1986,
- 40, 369 (Chem. Abstr., 1987, 106, 34887s).
- 74 R. B. van Huystee and X. Zheng, Phytochemistry, 1993, 34, 933.
- 75 D. Stewart, G. W. Robertson and I. M. Morrison, Biol. Mass Spectrom., 1994, 23, 71.
- 76 J. H. Grabber and J. Ralph, unpublished work.

Paper 4/03105I Received 24th May 1994 Accepted 3rd August 1994